FINAL REPORT - January 20, 2021

Northside Fire District Impact Fee Study and Capital Improvement Plan

Prepared By

Galena Consulting Anne Wescott 1214 South Johnson Boise, Idaho 83705



Section I. Introduction

This report regarding impact fees for the Northside Fire District is organized into the following sections:

- An overview of the report's background and objectives;
- A definition of impact fees and a discussion of their appropriate use;
- An overview of land use and demographics;
- A step-by-step calculation of impact fees under the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) approach;
- A list of implementation recommendations; and
- A brief summary of conclusions.

Background and Objectives

The Northside Fire District hired Galena Consulting to calculate impact fees.

This document presents impact fees based on the District's demographic data and infrastructure costs before credit adjustment; calculates the District's monetary participation; examines the likely cash flow produced by the recommended fee amount; and outlines specific fee implementation recommendations. Credits can be granted on a case-by-case basis; these credits are assessed when each individual building permit is pulled.

Definition of Impact Fees

Impact fees are one-time assessments established by local governments to assist with the provision of Capital Improvements necessitated by new growth and development. Impact fees are governed by principles established in Title 67, Chapter 82, Idaho Code, known as the Idaho Development Impact Fee Act (Impact Fee Act). The Idaho Code defines an impact fee as "... a payment of money imposed as a condition of development approval to pay for a proportionate share of the cost of system improvements needed to serve development."

Purpose of impact fees. The Impact Fee Act includes the legislative finding that "... an equitable program for planning and financing public facilities needed to serve new growth and development is necessary in order to promote and accommodate orderly growth and development and to protect the public health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the state of Idaho."

Idaho fee restrictions and requirements. The Impact Fee Act places numerous restrictions on the calculation and use of impact fees, all of which help ensure that local governments adopt impact fees that are consistent with federal law. Some of those restrictions include:

- Impact fees shall not be used for any purpose other than to defray system improvement costs incurred to provide additional public facilities to serve new growth;⁴
- Impact fees must be expended within 8 years from the date they are collected. Fees may be held in certain circumstances beyond the 8-year time limit if the governmental entity can provide reasonable cause;⁵
- Impact fees must not exceed the proportionate share of the cost of capital improvements needed to serve new growth and development; 6
- Impact fees must be maintained in one or more interest-bearing accounts within the capital projects fund.⁷

In addition, the Impact Fee Act requires the following:

- Establishment of and consultation with a development impact fee advisory committee (Advisory Committee);8
- Identification of all existing public facilities;
- Determination of a standardized measure (or service unit) of consumption of public facilities;
- Identification of the current level of service that existing public facilities provide;
- Identification of the deficiencies in the existing public facilities;
- Forecast of residential and nonresidential growth;
- Identification of the growth-related portion of the District's Capital Improvement Plan; 10
- Analysis of cash flow stemming from impact fees and other capital improvement funding sources;¹¹
- Implementation of recommendations such as impact fee credits, how impact fee revenues should be accounted for, and how the impact fees should be updated over time; 12
- Preparation and adoption of a Capital Improvement Plan pursuant to state law and public hearings regarding the same;¹³ and
- Preparation and adoption of a resolution authorizing impact fees pursuant to state law and public hearings regarding the same. ¹⁴

How should fees be calculated? State law requires the District to implement the Capital Improvement Plan methodology to calculate impact fees. The District can implement fees of any amount not to exceed the fees as calculated by the CIP approach. This methodology requires the District to describe its service areas, forecast the land uses, densities and population that are expected to occur in those service areas over the 10-year CIP time horizon, and identify the capital improvements that will be needed to serve the forecasted growth at the planned levels of service, assuming the planned levels of service do not exceed the current levels of service.¹⁵ Only those items identified as growth-related on the CIP are eligible to be funded by impact fees.

The governmental entity intending to adopt an impact fee must first prepare a capital improvements plan.¹⁷ Once the essential capital planning has taken place, impact fees can be calculated. The ImpactFee Act places many restrictions on the way impact fees are calculated and spent, particularly via the principal that local governments cannot charge new development more than a "proportionate share" of the cost of public facilities to serve that new growth. "Proportionate share" is defined as ". . . that portion of the cost of system improvements . . . which reasonably relates to the service demands and needs of the project." Practically, this concept requires the District to carefully project future growth and estimate capital improvement costs so that it prepares reasonable and defensible impact fee schedules.

The proportionate share concept is designed to ensure that impact fees are calculated by measuring the needs created for capital improvements by development being charged the impact fee; do not exceed the cost of such improvements; and are "earmarked" to fund growth-related capital improvements to benefit those that pay the impact fees.

There are various approaches to calculating impact fees and to crediting new development for past and future contributions made toward system improvements. The Impact Fee Act does not specify a single type of fee calculation, but it does specify that the formula be "reasonable and fair." Impact fees should take into account the following:

- Any appropriate credit, offset or contribution of money, dedication of land, or construction of system improvements;
- Payments reasonably anticipated to be made by or as a result of a new development in the form of user fees and debt service payments;
- That portion of general tax and other revenues allocated by the District to growth-related system improvements; and
- All other available sources of funding such system improvements.²⁰

Through data analysis and interviews with the District and Galena Consulting identified the share of each capital improvement needed to serve growth. The total projected capital improvements needed to serve growth are then allocated to residential and nonresidential development with the resulting amounts divided by the appropriate growth projections from 2017 to 2027. This is consistent with the Impact Fee Act.²¹ Among the advantages of the CIP approach is its establishment of a spending plan to give developers and new residents more certainty about the use of the particular impact fee revenues.

Other fee calculation considerations. The basic CIP methodology used in the fee calculations is presented above. However, implementing this methodology requires a number of decisions. The considerations accounted for in the fee calculations include the following:

- Allocation of costs is made using a service unit which is "a standard measure of consumption, use, generation or discharge attributable to an individual unit²² of development calculated in accordance with generally accepted engineering or planning standards for a particular category of capital improvement."²³ The service units chosen by the study team for every fee calculation in this study are linked directly to residential dwelling units and nonresidential development square feet.²⁴
- A second consideration involves refinement of cost allocations to different land uses. According to Idaho Code, the CIP must include a "conversion table establishing the ratio of a service unit to various types of land uses, including residential, commercial, agricultural and industrial." In this analysis, the study team has chosen to use the highest level of detail supportable by available data and, as a result, in this study, the fee is allocated between aggregated residential (i.e., all forms of residential housing) and nonresidential development (all nonresidential uses including retail, office, agricultural and industrial).

Current Assets and Capital Improvement Plans

The CIP approach estimates future capital improvement investments required to serve growth over a fixed period of time. The Impact Fee Act calls for the CIP to ". . . project demand for system improvements required by new service units . . . over a reasonable period of time not to exceed 20 years." The impact fee study team recommends a 10-year time period based on the District's best available capital planning data.

The types of costs eligible for inclusion in this calculation include any land purchases, construction of new facilities and expansion of existing facilities to serve growth over the next 10 years at planned and/or adopted service levels.²⁷ Equipment and vehicles with a useful life of 10 years or more are also impact fee eligible under the Impact Fee Act.²⁸ The total cost of improvements over the 10 years is referred to as the "CIP Value" throughout this report. The cost of this impact fee study is also impact fee eligible for all impact fee categories.

The forward-looking 10-year CIP for the District includes some facilities that are only partially necessitated by growth (e.g., facility expansion). The study team met with the District to determine a defensible metric for including a portion of these facilities in the impact fee calculations. A general methodology used to determine this metric is discussed below. In some cases, a more specific metric was used to identify the growth-related portion of such improvements. In these cases, notations were made in the applicable section.

Fee Calculation

In accordance with the CIP approach described above, we calculated fees for each department by answering the following seven questions:

- 1. Who is currently served by the District? This includes the number of residents as well as residential and nonresidential land uses.
- 2. What is the current level of service provided by the District? Since an important purpose of impact fees is to help the District achieve its planned level of service ²⁹, it is necessary to know the levels of service it is currently providing to the community.
- 3. What current assets allow the District to provide this level of service? This provides a current inventory of assets used by the District, such as facilities, land and equipment. In addition, each asset's replacement value was calculated and summed to determine the total value of the District's current assets.
- 4. What is the current investment per residential and nonresidential land use? In other words, how much of the District's current assets' total value is needed to serve current residential households and nonresidential square feet?
- 5. What future growth is expected in the District? How many new residential households and nonresidential square footage will the District serve over the CIP period?
- 6. What new infrastructure is required to serve future growth? For example, how many stations will be needed by the Northside Fire District within the next ten years to achieve the planned level of service of the District?³⁰
- 7. What impact fee is required to pay for the new infrastructure? We calculated an apportionment of new infrastructure costs to future residential and nonresidential land- uses for the District. Then, using this distribution, the impact fees were determined.

Addressing these seven questions, in order, provides the most effective and logical way to calculate impact fees for the District. In addition, these seven steps satisfy and follow the regulations set forth earlier in this section.

Exhibits found in Section III of this report detail all capital improvements planned for purchase over the next ten years by the District.

See Section 67-8203(9), Idaho Code. "System improvements" are capital improvements (i.e., improvements with a useful life of 10 years or more) that, in addition to a long life, increase the service capacity of a public facility. Public facilities include fire, emergency medical and rescue facilities. See Sections 67-8203(3), (24) and (28), Idaho Code.

See Section 67-8202, Idaho Code.

As explained further in this study, proportionality is the foundation of a defensible impact fee. To meet substantive due process requirements, an impact fee must provide a rational relationship (or nexus) between the impact fee assessed against new development and the actual need for additional capital improvements. An impact fee must substantially advance legitimate local government interests. This relationship must be of "rough proportionality." Adequate consideration of the factors outlined in Section 67-8207(2) ensure that rough proportionality is reached. See Banbury Development Corp. v. South Jordan, 631 P.2d 899 (1981); Dollan v. District of Tigard, 512 U.S. 374 (1994).

See Sections 67-8202(4) and 67-8203(29), Idaho Code.

```
See Section 67-8210(4), Idaho Code.
 See Sections 67-8204(1) and 67-8207, Idaho Code.
 See Section 67-8210(1), Idaho Code
 See Section 67-8205, Idaho Code.
 See Section 67-8206(2), Idaho Code.
  See Section 67-8208, Idaho Code.
  See Section 67-8207, Idaho Code.
12
  See Sections 67-8209 and 67-8210, Idaho Code.
  See Section 67-8208, Idaho Code.
  See Sections 67-8204 and 67-8206, Idaho Code.
  As a comparison and benchmark for the impact fees calculated under the Capital Improvement Plan approach, Galena
  Consulting also calculated the District's current level of service by quantifying the District's current investment in
  capital improvements, allocating a portion of these assets to residential and nonresidential development, and dividing
  the resulting amount by current housing units (residential fees) or current square footage (nonresidential fees). By using
  current assets to denote the current service standard, this methodology guards against using fees to correct existing
  deficiencies.
  See Section 67-8208, Idaho Code.
  See Section 67-8203(23), Idaho Code
20
  See Section 67-8207, Idaho Code.
  The impact fee that can be charged to each service unit (in this study, residential dwelling units and nonresidential
  square feet) cannot exceed the amount determined by dividing the cost of capital improvements attributable to new
  development (in order to provide an adopted service level) by the total number of service units attributable to new
  development. See Sections 67-8204(16), 67-8208(1(f) and 67-8208(1)(g), Idaho Code.
  See Section 67-8203(27), Idaho Code.
23
  See Section 67-8203(27), Idaho Code.
  The construction of detached garages alongside residential units does not typically trigger the payment of additional
impact fees unless that structure will be the site of a home-based business with significant outside employment.
  See Section 67-8208(1)(e), Idaho Code.
  See Section 67-8208(1)(h).
27
  This assumes the planned levels of service do not exceed the current levels of service.
  The Impact Fee Act allows a broad range of improvements to be considered as "capital" improvements, so long as the
improvements have useful life of at least 10 years and also increase the service capacity of public facilities. See Sections
67-8203(28) and 50-1703, Idaho Code.
```

This assumes that the planned level of service does not exceed the current level of service.

This assumes the planned level of service does not exceed the current level of service.

Section II. Land Uses

As noted in Section I, it is necessary to allocate capital improvement plan (CIP) costs to both residential and nonresidential development when calculating impact fees. The study team performed this allocation based on the number of projected new households and nonresidential square footage projected to be added from 2020 through 2030 for the District. These projections were based on the most recent growth estimates from Bonner County, the City of Ponderay and the City of Kootenai; regional real estate market reports Census data; Idaho Department of Labor reports and recommendations from District Staff and the Impact Fee Advisory Committee.

Demographic and land-use projections are some of the most variable and potentially debatable components of an impact fee study, and in all likelihood the projections used in our study will not prove to be 100 percent correct. The purpose of the Advisory Committee's annual review is to account for these inconsistencies. As each CIP is tied to the District's land use growth, the CIPand resulting fees can be revised based on actual growth as it occurs.

The District serves the population of the City of Ponderay, the City of Kootenai, and a portion of unincorporated Bonner County. The following Exhibit II-1 presents the current and estimated future population for the District.

Exhibit II-1.
Current and Future Population within the boundaries of the Northside Fire District

	2020	2030	Net Increase	Percent Increase			
Population	8,608	11,602	2,994	35%			

The District currently has approximately 8,608 persons residing within its service boundary. Current and future population estimates were derived by comparing 2010-2019 Census data to current population estimates from Bonner County, the City of Ponderay and the City of Kootenai, as well as parcel data from the Bonner County Assessor, recent permit activity and the number of permits recently approved for future residential and non-residential construction. More residential projects are being approved within the District than before, including multi-family developments, increasing the capacity for population growth in the future. Non-residential growth was calculated using a formula of number of square feet per residential unit based on regional trends.

Over the next ten years, it is estimated the District will grow by approximately 2,994 people, or at an annual growth rate of 3.5 percent. Based on this population, the following Exhibit II-2 presents the current and future number of residential units and nonresidential square feet for the District.

Exhibit II-2.
Current and Future Land Uses, Northside Fire District

	2020	2030	Net Growth	Net Increase in Square Feet	Percent of Total Growth
Population	8,608	11,602	2,994		
Residential (in units)	3,587	4,834	1,248	2,495,308	71%
Nonresidential (in square feet)	3,000,000	4,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	29%
Total				3,495,308	100%

As shown above, the Northside Fire District is expected to grow by approximately 1,248 residential units and one million nonresidential square feet over the next ten years. Seventy-one percent of this growth is attributable to residential land uses, while the remaining twenty-nine percent is attributable to nonresidential growth. These growth projections will be used in the following sections to calculate the appropriate impact fees for the District.

Section III. Impact Fee Calculation

In this section, we calculate impact fees for the Northside Fire District according to the seven - question method outlined in Section I of this report.

1. Who is currently served by the Northside Fire District?

As shown in Exhibit II-2, the District currently serves 3,587 residential units and approximately three million square feet of nonresidential land use.

2. What is the current level of service provided by the Northside Fire District?

The Northside Fire District provides a level of service of a 90 percent fractile response time of 5-7 minutes. Response times are faster within the cities of Ponderay and Kootenai, and can be longer for other parts of the unincorporated County. As the population of the District grows, additional infrastructure and equipment will be needed to sustain this level of service. Based on conversations with District staff, it is our understanding that the planned level of service is equal to the current level of service.

3. What current assets allow the Northside Fire District to provide this level of service?

The following Exhibit III-1 displays the current assets of the Northside Fire District.

Exhibit III-1.
Current Assets – Northside Fire District

Type of Capital Asset	Square Footage	Replacement Value			
Facilities					
Station #1	4,160	\$	1,250,000		
Station #2	1,152	\$	200,000		
Station #3	960		168,000		
Station #4	2,240	\$	395,000		
Apparatus ∕ Vehicles					
4 Engines		\$	1,790,000		
4 Tender/Pumpers		\$	1,435,000		
3 Brush Trucks		\$	450,000		
1 Rescue		\$	200,000		
3 Command Vehicles		\$	150,000		
1 Boat		\$	20,000		
1 Plow		\$	50,000		
Equipment					
21 SCBAs		\$	210,000		
25 Radios		\$	25,000		
Hose		\$	20,000		
Total Assets	Call Millson	\$	6,363,000		
Plus Cost of Fee-Related Research					
Impact Fee Study		\$	8,000		
Plus Current Fund Balance		\$	30,544		
Grand Total		\$	6,401,544		

As shown above, the District currently owns approximately \$6.4 million of eligible current assets. These assets are used to provide the District's current level of service.

4. What is the current investment per residential unit and nonresidential square foot?

The Northside Fire District has already invested \$1,259 per existing residential unit and \$0.63 per existing nonresidential square foot in the capital necessary to provide the current level of service. This figure is derived by allocating the value of the District's current assets among the current number of residential units and nonresidential square feet.

We will compare our final impact fee calculations with these figures to determine if the two results will be similar; this represents a "check" to see if future District residents will be paying for infrastructure at a level commensurate with what existing District residents have invested in infrastructure.

5. What future growth is expected in the Northside Fire District?

As shown in Exhibit II-2, the Northside Fire District is expected to grow by approximately 1,248 residential units and one million square feet of nonresidential land use over the next ten years.

6. What new infrastructure is required to serve future growth?

The following Exhibit III-2 displays the capital improvements planned for purchase by the Northside Fire District over the next ten years.

Exhibit III-2.

Northside Fire District CIP 2021 to 2030

CIP Value	Growth times Portion equals		Amount to clude in Fees		Amount from Other Sources	
\$ 2,000,000	50%	\$	1,000,000	\$	1,000,000	
\$ 750,000	50%	\$	375,000	\$	375,000	
\$ 200,000	0%	\$	-	\$	200,00	
\$ 435,000	0%	\$	- ,	\$	435,00	
\$ 350,000	0%	\$	-	\$	350,00	
\$ 350,000	0%	\$	-	\$	350,00	
\$ 210,000	0%	\$	-	\$	210,00	
\$ 25,000	0%	\$	-	\$	25,00	
\$ 20,000	100%	\$	20,000	\$	-	
\$ 4,340,000	The Part of the Pa	\$	1,395,000	\$	2,945,00	
\$ 8,000	100%	\$	8,000	\$	*	
\$ 30,544	100%	\$	30,544	\$	-	
\$ 4,317,456		\$	1,372,456	\$	2,945,00	
\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 2,000,000 \$ 750,000 \$ 200,000 \$ 435,000 \$ 350,000 \$ 350,000 \$ 210,000 \$ 25,000 \$ 20,000 \$ 4,340,000 \$ 8,000 \$ 30,544	\$ 2,000,000 50% \$ 750,000 50% \$ 750,000 0% \$ 200,000 0% \$ 435,000 0% \$ 350,000 0% \$ 350,000 0% \$ 210,000 0% \$ 25,000 0% \$ 20,000 100% \$ 4,340,000 \$ 8,000 100% \$ 30,544 100%	\$ 2,000,000 50% \$ \$ 750,000 50% \$ \$ 200,000 0% \$ \$ 435,000 0% \$ \$ 350,000 0% \$ \$ 350,000 0% \$ \$ 210,000 0% \$ \$ 25,000 0% \$ \$ 25,000 0% \$ \$ 20,000 100% \$ \$ 30,544 100% \$	Value times Portion equals Include in Fees \$ 2,000,000 50% \$ 1,000,000 \$ 750,000 50% \$ 375,000 \$ 200,000 0% \$ - \$ 435,000 0% \$ - \$ 350,000 0% \$ - \$ 210,000 0% \$ - \$ 25,000 0% \$ - \$ 20,000 100% \$ 20,000 \$ 4,340,000 \$ 1,395,000 \$ 8,000 100% \$ 8,000 \$ 30,544 100% \$ 30,544	Value times Portion equals Include in Fees Ott \$ 2,000,000 50% \$ 1,000,000 \$ \$ 750,000 50% \$ 375,000 \$ \$ 200,000 0% \$ - \$ \$ 435,000 0% \$ - \$ \$ 350,000 0% \$ - \$ \$ 210,000 0% \$ - \$ \$ 25,000 0% \$ - \$ \$ 20,000 100% \$ 20,000 \$ \$ 4,340,000 \$ 1,395,000 \$ \$ 8,000 \$ 30,544 100% \$ 30,544 \$	

As shown above, the District plans to purchase approximately \$4.3 million in capital improvements over the next ten years, almost \$1.4 million of which is impact fee eligible. These new assets will allow the District to achieve its planned level of service in the future.

The primary impact fee eligible expenditures are the expansion of Station #1, the purchase of a ladder truck and the acquisition of a generator. 50%, or \$1,375,000 of the cost of the station expansion and ladder truck are necessitated by growth. Station #1 will be expanded to provide room for full-time firefighter dorms and a bay for the ladder truck, which is necessary to respond to an increasing number of buildings more than I-story in height. Because the ladder truck is partially needed to serve existing development, and part of the station expansion is to address ADA improvements and adding a meeting room, the other 50% of the cost of these improvements will need to be funded by other revenue sources, including property taxes.

The remaining \$1,570,000 (\$2,945,000 minus \$1,375,000 in partially growth-related improvements) is the price for the District to replace existing apparatus, vehicles and other equipment. Replacement of existing capital is not eligible for inclusion in the impact fee calculations. The District will therefore have to use other sources of revenue including all of those listed in Idaho Code 67- 8207(iv)(2)(h). The District has identified property tax revenue or grants as the source for funding non growth-related capital improvements, and will replace its apparatus and equipment as they reach their industry life span throughout the 10-year period.

7. What impact fee is required to pay for the new capital improvements?

The following Exhibit III-3 takes the projected future growth from Exhibits II-2 and the growth-related CIP from Exhibit III-2 to calculate impact fees for the Northside Fire District.

Exhibit III-3.
Impact Fee Calculation, Northside Fire District

		The state
Amount to Include in Impact Fee Calculation		\$1,372,456
Percentage of Future Growth Residential Non Residential		71% 29%
Amount Attributable to Future Growth Residential Non Residential	\$ \$	979,799 392,657
Future Growth 2017-2026 Residential (per unit) Non Residential (per square foot)		1,248 1,000,000
Impact Fee Residential (per unit) Non Residential (per square foot)	\$	785 0.39

As shown above, we have calculated impact fees for the Northside Fire District at \$785 per residential unit and \$0.39 per nonresidential square foot. In comparison, as indicated in question #4 above, property taxpayers within the District have already invested \$1,259 per residential unit and \$0.63 per nonresidential square foot in the capital inventory necessary to provide today's level of service. The difference between the current investment and the impact fee per unit indicates current taxpayers have already built in some capacity for future development.

The District cannot assess fees greater than the amounts shown above. The District may assess fees lower than these amounts, but would then experience a decline in service levels unless the District used other revenues to make up the difference.

Section IV. Fee Analysis and Administrative Recommendations

A comparison of the calculated Fire impact fee to similar fees in the region and State is provided in Exhibit IV-1:

Exhibit IV-1.
DRAFT Impact Fee Comparison - Fire

	Northside Fire District		_	City of ndpoint		dleton Fire District	gle Fire District	-	ar Fire istrict	na Fire istrict	Vilder District	larsing e District		em Co e District
per Residential Unit per Non-Residential sf	\$ \$	785 0.39	\$ \$	763 0.94	\$ \$	849 0.42	\$ 897 0.36	\$	829 0.39	\$ 824 0.41	\$ 825 0.41	\$ 1,285 0.64	\$ \$ incl	1,807 0.72 udes EMS

The calculated impact fee for the Northside Fire District is similar to other rural fire districts currently collecting impact fees. The calculated impact fee is higher than those fees currently being assessed by districts in more urban areas. The City of Coeur d'Alene and the Kootenai County Fire District do not currently assess fire impact fees.

Some communities express concern that impact fees will stifle growth. Empirical data indicates impact fees are not a primary reason for a decision to build or not build in a particular area. Factors including the price of land and construction, market demand, the availability of skilled workers, access to major transportation modes, amenities for quality of life, etc. all weigh more heavily in decisions to construct new homes or businesses, as well for business relocation. Ultimately the impact fee, which is paid at the time of building permit, is passed along to the buyer in the purchase price or wrapped into a lease rate. Therefore, in a market with a high demand for development, an impact fee higher than other jurisdictions is unlikely to slow growth.

An impact fee program will enable the District to plan for growth without decreasing its service levels (response time), which can decrease buyer satisfaction and cause property insurance premiums to increase. It will also allow the District to collect a proportionate share of the cost of capital improvements from growth instead of funding all future capital through property taxes assessed to existing residents and businesses.

As the District Commission evaluates whether or not to adopt the Capital Improvement Plan and impact fee presented in this report, we also offer the following information regarding District participation in funding, and implementation recommendations for your consideration.

Implementation Recommendations

The following implementation recommendations should be considered:

Intergovernmental Agreements. The Northside Fire District is enabled under Idaho Code as a governmental entity to adopt impact fees. However, because impact fees are paid upon building permit, and the District does not participate in this process, it needs another governmental entity to collect these fees on its behalf. Idaho Code 67-8204(a) authorizes the District to enter into an intergovernmental agreement with a city or county which can collect fire fees on their behalf. In the case of this District, which includes two municipalities and one county, three intergovernmental agreements for the collection of Fire District impact fees would have to be developed and adopted by the corresponding bodies.

In the case that any one of these jurisdictions choses not to collect the fees on the Fire District's behalf, inequities will result. Developers will have to pay an impact fee in one part of the District but not another, and the growth in the non-participating jurisdictions will essentially be subsidized by the growth in the participating region. Should this occur, it is recommended that the fee calculation be revised to more accurately reflect demand from the participating jurisdictions. Alternatively, jurisdictions not wishing to collect impact fees on behalf of the District may be encouraged to include the payment of the fee amount in the their development agreements to be paid directly to the District.

Capital Improvements Plan. Should the Advisory Committee recommend this study to the District Commission and should the Commission adopt the study, the District should also formally adopt this Capital Improvement Plan. While not subject to the procedures of the Local Land Use Planning Act (LLUPA), the adoption of the Capital Improvement Plan would comply with the Act's requirements of other governmental entities to adopt capital improvement plans into a Comprehensive Plan as part of the adoption of impact fees.

Each participating jurisdiction will need to also adopt the Capital Improvement Plan into their Comprehensive Plan via amendment.

Impact Fee Ordinance. Following adoption of the Capital Improvement Plan, the Commission should review the proposed Impact Fee Ordinance for adoption via resolution as reviewed and recommended by the Advisory Committee and legal counsel. Each participating jurisdiction will also need to adopt the impact fee ordinance.

Advisory Committee. The Advisory Committee is in a unique position to work with and advise Commission and District staff to ensure that the capital improvement plans and impact fees are routinely reviewed and modified as appropriate.

Impact fee service area. Some municipalities have fee differentials for various zones under the assumption that some areas utilize more or less current and future capital improvements. The study team, however, does not recommend the District assess different fees by dividing the areas into zones. The capital improvements identified in this report inherently serve a system-wide function.

Specialized assessments. If permit applicants are concerned they would be paying more than their fair share of future infrastructure purchases, the applicant can request an individualized assessment to ensure they will only be paying their proportional share. The applicant would be required to prepare and pay for all costs related to such an assessment.

Donations. If the District receives donations for capital improvements listed on the CIP, they must account for the donation in one of two ways. If the donation is for a non- or partially

growth-related improvement, the donation can contribute to the District's General Fund participation along with more traditional forms, such as revenue transfers from the General Fund. If, however, the donation is for growth-related project in the CIP, the donor's impact fees should be reduced dollar for dollar. This means that the District will either credit the donor or reimburse the donor for that portion of the impact fee.

Credit/reimbursement. If a developer constructs or contributes all or part of a growth-related project that would otherwise be financed with impact fees, that developer must receive a credit against the fees owed for this category or, at the developer's choice, be reimbursed from impact fees collected in the future.³⁷ This prevents "double dipping" by the District.

The presumption would be that builders/developers owe the entirety of the impact fee amount until they make the District aware of the construction or contribution. If credit or reimbursement is due, the governmental entity must enter into an agreement with the fee payer that specifies the amount of the credit or the amount, time and form of reimbursement.³⁸

Impact fee accounting. The District should maintain Impact Fee Funds separate and apart from the General Fund. All current and future impact fee revenue should be immediately deposited into this account and withdrawn only to pay for growth-related capital improvements of the same category. General Funds should be reserved solely for the receipt of tax revenues, grants, user fees and associated interest earnings, and ongoing operational expenses including the repair and replacement of existing capital improvements not related to growth.

Spending policy. The District should establish and adhere to a policy governing their expenditure of monies from the Impact Fee Fund. The Fund should be prohibited from paying for any operational expenses and the repair and replacement or upgrade of existing infrastructure not necessitated by growth. In cases when *growth-related capital improvements are constructed*, impact fees are an allowable revenue source as long as only new growth is served. In cases when new capital improvements are expected *to partially replace existing capacity and to partially serve new growth*, cost sharing between the General Fund or other sources of revenue listed in Idaho Code 67-8207(I)(iv), (2)(h) and Impact Fee Fund should be allowed on a pro rata basis.

Update procedures. The District is expected to grow rapidly over the 10-year span of the CIPs. Therefore, the fees calculated in this study should be updated annually as the District invests in additional infrastructure beyond what is listed in this report, and/or as the District's projected development changes significantly. Fees can be updated on an annual basis using an inflation factor for building material from a reputable source such as McGraw Hill's Engineering News Record. As described in Idaho Code 67-8205(3)(c)(d)(e), the Advisory Committee will play an important role in these updates and reviews.

GALENA CONSULTING

See Section 67-8209(3), Idaho Code.

See Section 67-8209(4), Idaho Code