

BONNER COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1500 HIGHWAY 2, SUITE 208, SANDPOINT, ID 83864 (208) 265-1458 (208) 265-1463 (FAX) planning@bonnercountyid.gov (email)

www.bonnercountyid.gov (web page)

Page 1 of 6

VARIANCE APPLICATION

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:		
FILE # \(\int \text{\mathcal{O}} \text{\mathcal{O}} \text{\mathcal{O}} - 2.5 \) \[\text{Administrative Variance} \text{\mathcal{P}} \text{Public Hearing Required}	RECEIVED:	075
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The applicant is requesting a variance from a: St	reet Setback(s)	
Front yard setback 2'-3" from E. Bottle Bay	Rear yard setback	1'-6" from Eureka Rd
Side yard setback	☐ Water front setbac	k
The applicant is requesting a 2'-3"1'-6" foot set be (Specify the type of structure and use) Single far Other (Please specify) Also seeking a variance structure with existing impervious will result in 65 Excluding Eureka & E. Bottle Bay rd, proposed in	nily residence ce from lot impervious cove % impervious area, includ	erage - proposed ing existing roads.
APPLICANT INFORMATION: Landowner's name: William Wright Mailing address:		
City: Sagle	State: Idaho	Zip code:
Telephone:	Fax:	
E-mail:		
REPRESENTATIVE'S INFORMATION:		
Representative's name:		
Company name:		
Mailing address:		
City:	State:	Zip code:
Telephone:	Fax:	
E-mail:		
ADDITIONAL APPLICANT/REPRESENTATIVE	INFORMATION:	
Name/Relationship to project:		
Company Name:		
Mailing Address:		
City:	State:	Zip Code:
Telephone:	Fax:	
E-mail:		
BONNER COUNTY VARIANCE APPLICATION - May 2019		

PARCEL INFORMATION:	
Section #:33 Town	ship: 57N Range: 1W Parcel acreage: .232
Parcel # (s): RP004140020	07AA
Legal description: 33-57N-	1W SHAFFERS LAKESHORE BLK 2 LOT 7 LOTS
PLUS SIDELINES EXTEN	NDED
Current landowner's nam	e: William Wright
Current zoning: Recreatio	n Current use: Single Family residence
What zoning districts bore	der the project site? Recreation, Rural 10
North: Recreation	East: N/A Lake Pend Oreille
South: Recreation	West: Rural 10
Comprehensive plan design	
	and (describe lot sizes, structures, uses): Single Family residences, Lake
North: Single Family resid	
South: Single Family resid	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
East: Lake Pend Oreille	
West: Single Family reside	
Within Area of City Impac	
	From Sandpoint go south on HWY 95 approx. 3 miles. Turm left on Bottle
Bay Road, Travel ~8.5 mi	les to E. Bottle Bay Road. Turn left - project site is ∼.5 miles on the right
NARRATIVE STATEMEN	T:
	T: ons apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in
Describe whether condition the same zone or vicinity,	ns apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which	ns apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the	ns apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from metals.	ns apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that seeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the	ons apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that eeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a	ns apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that seeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accomo	ons apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that reeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accomposite the existing structures.	ons apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that eeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accome of the existing structures and both structures of the structure of the structures of the structure of the st	which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that reeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it is potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accomposite existing structures a line, and both structures esteticks and is setback a	which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that reeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard few feet from Eureka Rd, improving the encraochment situation from what
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accompose the existing structures a line, and both structures estetocks and is setback a currently exists. Virtually exists.	which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that reeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard few feet from Eureka Rd, improving the encraochment situation from what every home on E. Bottle Bay Rd, is built within a few feet of the road-the
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accompose the existing structures line, and both structures estbcks and is setback a currently exists. Virtually exapplicant is requesting a result of the same conditions.	ons apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that neeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard few feet from Eureka Rd, improving the encraochment situation from what every home on E. Bottle Bay Rd, is built within a few feet of the road-the reduced setback to maintain a similar relationship to E. Bottle Bay Rd.
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accompose the existing structures line, and both structures estebcks and is setback a currently exists. Virtually exapplicant is requesting a setplain how special conditions.	ons apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that reeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard few feet from Eureka Rd, improving the encraochment situation from what every home on E. Bottle Bay Rd, is built within a few feet of the road- the educed setback to maintain a similar relationship to E. Bottle Bay Rd.
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accompose the existing structures line, and both structures established and is setback a currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setplain how special conditions.	ons apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that neeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard few feet from Eureka Rd, improving the encraochment situation from what every home on E. Bottle Bay Rd, is built within a few feet of the road-the reduced setback to maintain a similar relationship to E. Bottle Bay Rd.
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accompose the existing structures pline, and both structures estebcks and is setback a currently exists. Virtually exapplicant is requesting a received by the conditions of the existing structures of the existing s	which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that neeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part in poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard few feet from Eureka Rd, improving the encraochment situation from what every home on E. Bottle Bay Rd. is built within a few feet of the road- the reduced setback to maintain a similar relationship to E. Bottle Bay Rd. Sitions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant educed the size of his/her parcel, thereby creating a applicant had no part in creating the current conditions that restrict the he roads crossing the property existed long before it was purchased by
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accompose the existing structures line, and both structures estebcks and is setback a currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a result of the existing structures of the existi	which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that neeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part is poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard few feet from Eureka Rd, improving the encraochment situation from what every home on E. Bottle Bay Rd. is built within a few feet of the road- the reduced setback to maintain a similar relationship to E. Bottle Bay Rd. litions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant educed the size of his/her parcel, thereby creating a applicant had no part in creating the current conditions that restrict the he roads crossing the property existed long before it was purchased by fact, worked with neighbors and made property line adjustments to
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accome of the existing structures line, and both structures line, and both structures estebcks and is setback a currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback a currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback and is setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback and is setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback and is setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists.	which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that neeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part in poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard few feet from Eureka Rd, improving the encraochment situation from what every home on E. Bottle Bay Rd. is built within a few feet of the road- the reduced setback to maintain a similar relationship to E. Bottle Bay Rd. Sitions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant educed the size of his/her parcel, thereby creating a applicant had no part in creating the current conditions that restrict the he roads crossing the property existed long before it was purchased by
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accompose the existing structures line, and both structures estebcks and is setback a currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a result of the existing structures of the existi	which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that neeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part is poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard few feet from Eureka Rd, improving the encraochment situation from what every home on E. Bottle Bay Rd. is built within a few feet of the road- the reduced setback to maintain a similar relationship to E. Bottle Bay Rd. litions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant educed the size of his/her parcel, thereby creating a applicant had no part in creating the current conditions that restrict the he roads crossing the property existed long before it was purchased by fact, worked with neighbors and made property line adjustments to
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accome of the existing structures line, and both structures line, and both structures estebcks and is setback a currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback a currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback and is setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback and is setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback and is setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists.	which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that neeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part is poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard few feet from Eureka Rd, improving the encraochment situation from what every home on E. Bottle Bay Rd. is built within a few feet of the road- the reduced setback to maintain a similar relationship to E. Bottle Bay Rd. litions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant educed the size of his/her parcel, thereby creating a applicant had no part in creating the current conditions that restrict the he roads crossing the property existed long before it was purchased by fact, worked with neighbors and made property line adjustments to
Describe whether conditions the same zone or vicinity, circumstances over which possible to comply with the prevent a structure from mat locations that restrict the are is too small for even a in creating. 2 structures in to be removed to accome of the existing structures line, and both structures line, and both structures estebcks and is setback a currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback a currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback and is setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback and is setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback and is setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists. Virtually explicant is requesting a setback as currently exists.	which conditions are a result of lot size, shape, topography, or other the applicant has no control. BCRC 12-234(a) Explain why it is not e ordinance standards. Example: A site may have excessive slopes that neeting the required setbacks. The subject parcel has 2 roads crossing it e potential area for a homesite. When setbacks are imposed, the buildable modest sized home. These are conditions that the applicant had no part is poor condition that currently exist on the subject property are proposed date a new modestly sized single family home. It should noted that one proposed to be removed extends over Eureka Rd and the North property exceed side yard setbacks. The proposed structure is within the side yard few feet from Eureka Rd, improving the encraochment situation from what every home on E. Bottle Bay Rd. is built within a few feet of the road- the reduced setback to maintain a similar relationship to E. Bottle Bay Rd. litions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant educed the size of his/her parcel, thereby creating a applicant had no part in creating the current conditions that restrict the he roads crossing the property existed long before it was purchased by fact, worked with neighbors and made property line adjustments to

		variance is not in conflict with the public interest in that it will not be health, safety, or welfare, or materially injurious to properties or
		ty of the subject parcel or lot. BCRC 12-234(c) Explain how the proposed
		ljoining land uses. Example: Will approval of the variance result in a
		blic access or cause a safety hazard? Are there effects of elements on
		ch as noise, light glare, odor, fumes and vibration?
		have no detrimental effect on neighboring properties or the public
		oses to remove a structure that encroaches on Eureka Road and
		manent structure that does not enroach into the roadway, which
		ty situation. Additionally, the existing home and carport/ garage on the
		xceed side setbacks - removing them and rebuilding within current
		ondition for neighboring properties
<u> </u>		STOREGIT TO TROUGHISOTHING PROPORTION
AC	CESS INFORMATION:	
Plea	ase check appropriate bo	oxes:
+		
	Private Easement	Existing Proposed
		e (e.g., gravel, dirt, paved, etc.), travel way width, road grade and
		de recorded instrument number for existing easements & name, if
	existing:	ac recorded monament number for existing easements & name, if
	existing.	
		isting Proposed
	Describe travel surface	e (e.g., gravel, dirt, paved, etc.), travel way width, road grade right-of-way
	width and name, if exis	sting: East Bottle Bay Road, ~12' wide asphalt paving. Eureka Road
	~14' wide gravel surfac	DE
	Combination of Public	Road/Private Easement
ш		e (e.g., gravel, dirt, paved, etc.), travel way width, road grade and right-
		h and road name, if existing:
	or-way/easement width	if and foad fiame, if existing.
SIT	re information:	
Plea	ase provide a detailed de	escription of the following land features:
		l), including estimated maximum slope, rock outcroppings, benches, etc:
		t approximately 17% from east to west except where 2 roads cross it.
INO	<u>exceptional features exi</u>	St.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Wa	ter courses (lakes, strea	ms, rivers & other bodies of water): No surface water exists on the site

BONNER COUNTY VARIANCE APPLICATION - May 2019

	te within a floodplain? ings & wells: No spring	Yes No Firm Panel #: Map designation: s or wells exist on the property
Price	tion of motion (circ 9)	use): Currently there are 4 structures on the subject property - A single
fam	ily residence, a carpor	// Garage (both to be removed) a detached shop/ ADU, and a boat house
to th	d cover (timber, pasturne shop, and an aspha	res, etc): Mature Landscaping, a few trees, a gravel parking area adjacen It parking area in front of the residence along E. Bottle Bay Road (to be
Are	wetlands present on s	ite? 🗌 Yes 📝 No Source of information:
Oth app	er pertinent informatio licant.	on (attach additional pages if needed): See additional narrative by
SER	RVICES:	
Sew	age disposal will be pr	
х	Existing Community East Bottle Bay Sew E. Bottle Bay Road.	System - List name of sewer district or provider and type of system: er District . Septic storage tank with discharge to sewer main in
	Proposed Communit	y System – List type & proposed ownership:
	Individual system – I	List type:
	lain the type of sewag other details: N/A	ge system, capacity, maintenance plan, location of facilities, if applica

Wat	er will be supplied by	•		
П	Evisting public or or	ommunity system - Li	et name of provider	
	Existing public of co	Jiiiiiuiiity system - Lis	st fiame of provider.	
П	Proposed Communi	ty System – List type & proposed ownership:		
×		existing residence has a shared well with an adjacent property. It is roposed residence will continue with that arrangement		
Plea			tem maintenance plan, storage and delivery system	
Exa			erty. Maintenance costs are shared by both users consistent supply that is more than adequate for	
	ance (in miles) to the		Solid Worte Collection Facility D. fort. 40 mi	
	lic/Community Sewer		Solid Waste Collection Facility: Dufort ~16 mi Fire Station: Bottle Bay Fire station ~1 mi	
~	nentary School: Sagle		Secondary Schools: Sandpoint High ~ 14 mi.	
	nty Road: Eureka Rd		County Road Name: Eureka Road	
	The second secon	ve the project site? S	THE SAME PARTY OF THE PARTY OF	
		ill serve the project sit		
		13		
Con	nprehensive Plan? (Coerty Rights: <u>Approval</u>	opy of goals and obj of the requested varia	h the general and specific objectives of the ectives attached) ance will allow the applicant to rebuilt an existing given current setback requirements	
Pop	ulation: No impact			
Sch	ool facilities & Transp	ortation: No Impact		
Eco	nomic Development: <u>N</u>	o impact		
			rill allow the site to be utilized in a manner consistent	
with	its zoning and adjace	ent properties - for a si	ngle family residence	
Nati	ural Resources: <u>No im</u>	pact		
Haz	ardous Areas: <u>No haz</u>	ardous areas exist on	the site or are adjacent	
	lic Services: The site have no further impac		all public services. Replacing the existing residence	
WIII	nave no lutiner impac	A OH PUDIIC SELVICES		
Trar	nsportation: Approval of the r	requested variance will improve the cle	arance for	

vehicles traveling on Euro	eka Road	

	ne requested variance will allow the site to be utilized development of a lake front residence in the Recrea	
Special Areas or Sites: N	o special areas exist on the site or are adjacent	
	requested variance will allow an existing residence to he applicant and their family	o be replaced
Community Design: The vicinity	design for the proposed new home is consistent with	others in the
Implementation: (Not rec	uired to complete this element)	
are true to the best of m representatives, elected of	he information, statements, attachments and exhibit knowledge. I further grant permission to Bonner appointed officials to enter upon the subject land with the premises relative to the processing of this app	County employees and to make examinations,
Landowner's signature:_		Date: 03/31/2025
Landowner's signature:		Date: